

Biostatistics 140.623 Third Term, 2002-2003

Laboratory Exercise 4

The following model explores the relationship between child's age and breastfeeding (1=yes, 0=no) for the 302 mother-child pairs drawn at random from the Nepali class data set:

$$\text{logit Pr}(\text{BF} = 1) = \log \text{ odds } (\text{BF} = 1) = \beta_0 + \beta_1(\text{child's age} - 36)$$

The following are the results of a logistic regression analysis of breastfeeding on age (in months) using these data in Stata .

```
. gen age36=age_chld-36
. logit bf age36
```

```
Iteration 0:  log likelihood = -209.30396
Iteration 1:  log likelihood = -114.3689
Iteration 2:  log likelihood = -102.25897
Iteration 3:  log likelihood = -100.58092
Iteration 4:  log likelihood = -100.52192
Iteration 5:  log likelihood = -100.52182
```

```
Logit estimates                               Number of obs   =          302
                                                LR chi2(1)      =        217.56
                                                Prob > chi2     =         0.0000
Log likelihood = -100.52182                  Pseudo R2       =         0.5197
```

bf	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]
age36	-.1761668	.0191232	-9.21	0.000	-.2136476 -.1386861
_cons	-.6315363	.1908287	-3.31	0.001	-1.005554 -.2575189

```
. logistic bf age36
```

```
Logit estimates                               Number of obs   =          302
                                                LR chi2(1)      =        217.56
                                                Prob > chi2     =         0.0000
Log likelihood = -100.52182                  Pseudo R2       =         0.5197
```

bf	Odds Ratio	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]
age36	.8384781	.0160344	-9.21	0.000	.807633 .8705012

1. From the regression results above, estimate the prevalence of breast feeding among 36-month

old infants.

The following model includes child's gender (0=male; 1=female).

$$\text{logit Pr}(BF = 1) = \log \text{ odds } (BF = 1) = \beta_0 + \beta_1(\text{child's age} - 36) + \beta_2(\text{gender})$$

```
. logit bf age36 sex_chld
```

```
Iteration 0: log likelihood = -209.30396
Iteration 1: log likelihood = -114.05425
Iteration 2: log likelihood = -101.75438
Iteration 3: log likelihood = -100.00867
Iteration 4: log likelihood = -99.943901
Iteration 5: log likelihood = -99.943775
```

```
Logit estimates                               Number of obs   =           302
                                                LR chi2(2)      =           218.72
                                                Prob > chi2     =           0.0000
Log likelihood = -99.943775                  Pseudo R2      =           0.5225
```

```
-----+-----
          bf |          Coef.   Std. Err.      z    P>|z|     [95% Conf. Interval]
-----+-----
       age36 |   -0.1785173    0.0194601   -9.17  0.000   -0.2166585   -0.1403761
       sex_chld |  -0.3892598    0.3643308   -1.07  0.285   -1.103335    0.3248154
       _cons |  -0.4514222    0.2525563   -1.79  0.074   -0.9464234    0.0435791
-----+-----
```

```
.lrtest, saving (0)
```

```
.quietly logit bf age36
```

```
.lrtest
```

```
Logit: likelihood-ratio test                chi2(1)      =           1.16
                                                Prob > chi2   =           0.2823
```

2. Test whether this additional covariate is needed in the model by :

a) Using a z-test (Wald test=estimate/se)

b) Comparing the extended and null models using the likelihood-ratio test result.

- c) Verifying by hand the result of the likelihood ratio test.
- d) Does inclusion of the additional covariate improve the fit of the model?
3. Interpret the estimated logistic regression coefficients for age and gender.
4. Estimate the prevalence of breastfeeding for a 36-month old female child versus that for a 36-month old male child.
5. Estimate the prevalence of breastfeeding for a 12-month old male child.
6. The following is a Hosmer-Lemeshow goodness-of-fit test for the model that includes child's age and gender. Interpret the result of this test.

```
. quietly logit bf age36 sex_chld  
. lfit, group(5)
```

Logistic model for bf, goodness-of-fit test
(Table collapsed on quantiles of estimated probabilities)

```

number of observations =      302
number of groups      =        5
Hosmer-Lemeshow chi2(3) =      2.13
Prob > chi2           =      0.5468

```

7. The following model includes only child's gender (0=male; 1=female). Compare these results to the previous logistic regression results.

```
. logit bf sex_chld
```

```

Iteration 0:  log likelihood = -209.30396
Iteration 1:  log likelihood = -209.13468
Iteration 2:  log likelihood = -209.13468

```

```

Logit estimates                                Number of obs =      302
LR chi2(1)                                    =      0.34
Prob > chi2                                    =      0.5607
Pseudo R2                                      =      0.0008

Log likelihood = -209.13468

```

```

-----+-----
          bf |      Coef.   Std. Err.      z    P>|z|     [95% Conf. Interval]
-----+-----
sex_chld |   .1340247   .2304102     0.58   0.561    - .317571   .5856203
 _cons   |  -.0387145   .160674    -0.24   0.810    - .3536297   .2762007
-----+-----

```

8. Which model do you prefer and why? Justify your choice and summarize the findings of your analysis in a sentence or two.

FOR YOUR INFORMATION (FYI) – ANOTHER EXAMPLE

9. Below find two 2x2 tables that show the number of Nepali children breastfeeding by age (< 36 months, 36-60 months) for boys versus girls.

```
-> sex_chld = 0 (Males)
```

```

      |      ageb
breast fed | < 36 mont  36+ month |      Total
-----+-----+-----
      0 |          12          67 |          79
      1 |          65          11 |          76
-----+-----+-----
    Total |          77          78 |         155
    
```

-> sex_chld = 1 (Females)

```

      |      ageb
breast fed | < 36 mont  36+ month |      Total
-----+-----+-----
      0 |          17          53 |          70
      1 |          72           5 |          77
-----+-----+-----
    Total |          89          58 |         147
    
```

Pool the data above to obtain a single 2x2 table that ignores the gender of the child.

```

      |      ageb
breast fed | < 36 mont  36+ month |      Total
-----+-----+-----
      0 |              |
      1 |              |
-----+-----+-----
    Total |              |
    
```

10. Calculate the log odds ratio and standard error and confidence interval for each of the three tables above:

Table	Log OR	SE	95% CI
Pooled			
Boys			
Girls			

Compare to the Stata results on the next page.

. cs ageb bf, or

```

      | breast fed |
      | Exposed   Unexposed |      Total
-----+-----+-----
    Cases |          16          120 |          136
    Noncases |          137           29 |          166
-----+-----+-----
    
```

Total	153	149	302	
Risk	.1045752	.8053691	.4503311	
	Point estimate		[95% Conf. Interval]	
Risk difference	-.700794		-.7807459	-.620842
Risk ratio	.1298475		.0811279	.2078247
Prev. frac. ex.	.8701525		.7921753	.9188721
Prev. frac. pop	.4408389			
Odds ratio	.0282238		.0146843	.0542816 (Cornfield)

	chi2(1) = 149.77		Pr>chi2 = 0.0000	

. cs ageb bf, or by(sex_chld)

gender: M=0 F=1	OR	[95% Conf. Interval]		M-H Weight	
0	.03031	.0125813	.0730224	28.09677	(Cornfield)
1	.0222746	.00797	.0628017	25.95918	(Cornfield)

Crude	.0282238	.0146843	.0542816		
M-H combined	.0264512	.0134179	.0521443		

Test of homogeneity (M-H)	chi2(1) = 0.192		Pr>chi2 = 0.6613		

Test that combined OR = 1:
Mantel-Haenszel chi2(1) = 149.18
Pr>chi2 = 0.0000

11. Let ageb =0 if age < 36 months, 1 if age 36+ months. Fit the following logistic regression models:

Model A: $\text{logit Pr}(\text{BF} = 1) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \text{ageb}$

Model B: $\text{logit Pr}(\text{BF} = 1) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \text{ageb} + \beta_2 (\text{gender})$

Model C: $\text{logit Pr}(BF = 1) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \text{ageb} + \beta_2 (\text{gender}) + \beta_3 (\text{ageb} * \text{gender})$

Match the logistic regression coefficients above to the results of the log odds ratios in question 10.

12. Interpret the coefficients in Models B and C using the terms “effect modifier” and “confounder” as if for a public health journal.

Model A

```
. logit bf ageb
```

```
Iteration 0:  log likelihood = -209.30396
Iteration 1:  log likelihood = -128.83764
Iteration 2:  log likelihood = -126.20336
Iteration 3:  log likelihood = -126.16167
Iteration 4:  log likelihood = -126.16164
```

```
Logit estimates                                Number of obs   =          302
                                                LR chi2(1)      =       166.28
                                                Prob > chi2     =         0.0000
Log likelihood = -126.16164                    Pseudo R2      =         0.3972
```

```
-----+-----
          bf |      Coef.   Std. Err.      z    P>|z|     [95% Conf. Interval]
-----+-----
          ageb | -3.567588   .335582   -10.63   0.000   -4.225317   -2.909859
          _cons |  1.552685   .2044065    7.60   0.000    1.152056    1.953315
-----+-----
```

Model B

```
. logit bf ageb sex_chld
```

```
Iteration 0:  log likelihood = -209.30396
Iteration 1:  log likelihood = -128.4437
Iteration 2:  log likelihood = -125.63848
Iteration 3:  log likelihood = -125.58479
```

Iteration 4: log likelihood = -125.58474

```

Logit estimates
Log likelihood = -125.58474
Number of obs   =      302
LR chi2(2)      =     167.44
Prob > chi2     =      0.0000
Pseudo R2      =      0.4000
    
```

bf	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
ageb	-3.628328	.3449674	-10.52	0.000	-4.304451	-2.952204
sex_chld	-.3548912	.3333839	-1.06	0.287	-1.008312	.2985293
_cons	1.753121	.2847674	6.16	0.000	1.194987	2.311255

Model C

```

. gen interact=ageb*sex_chld
. logit bf ageb sex_chld interact
    
```

```

Iteration 0: log likelihood = -209.30396
Iteration 1: log likelihood = -128.41831
Iteration 2: log likelihood = -125.55842
Iteration 3: log likelihood = -125.48828
Iteration 4: log likelihood = -125.48808
Iteration 5: log likelihood = -125.48808
    
```

```

Logit estimates
Log likelihood = -125.48808
Number of obs   =      302
LR chi2(3)      =     167.63
Prob > chi2     =      0.0000
Pseudo R2      =      0.4005
    
```

bf	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
ageb	-3.496278	.4522747	-7.73	0.000	-4.38272	-2.609836
sex_chld	-.2460278	.4140415	-0.59	0.552	-1.057534	.5654786
interact	-.3080288	.7043669	-0.44	0.662	-1.688563	1.072505
_cons	1.689481	.3141941	5.38	0.000	1.073671	2.30529