

# Confidence Intervals for Proportions

## Example

---

[Carroll, *J Med Entomol* **38**:114–117, 2001]

Place tick on clay island surrounded by water, with two capillary tubes: one treated with deer-gland-substance; one untreated.

| Tick sex | Leg  | Deer sex | treated | untreated |
|----------|------|----------|---------|-----------|
| male     | fore | female   | 24      | 5         |
| female   | fore | female   | 18      | 5         |
| male     | fore | male     | 23      | 4         |
| female   | fore | male     | 20      | 4         |
| male     | hind | female   | 17      | 8         |
| female   | hind | female   | 25      | 3         |
| male     | hind | male     | 21      | 6         |
| female   | hind | male     | 25      | 2         |

→ Is the tick more likely to go to the treated tube?

## Test for a proportion

---

Suppose  $X \sim \text{Binomial}(n, p)$ .

Test  $H_0 : p = \frac{1}{2}$  vs  $H_a : p \neq \frac{1}{2}$ .

Reject  $H_0$  if  $X \geq H$  or  $X \leq L$ .

Choose  $H$  and  $L$  such that


$$\Pr(X \geq H \mid p = \frac{1}{2}) \leq \alpha/2 \text{ and } \Pr(X \leq L \mid p = \frac{1}{2}) \leq \alpha/2.$$

Thus  $\Pr(\text{Reject } H_0 \mid H_0 \text{ is true}) \leq \alpha$ .

→ The difficulty: The Binomial distribution is hard to work with. Because of its discrete nature, you can't get exactly your desired significance level ( $\alpha$ ).

## Binomial(n=29, p=1/2)

---



## Rejection region

---

Consider  $X \sim \text{Binomial}(n=29, p)$ .

Test of  $H_0 : p = \frac{1}{2}$  vs  $H_a : p \neq \frac{1}{2}$  at significance level  $\alpha = 0.05$ .

Lower critical value:

$$q_{\text{binom}}(0.025, 29, 0.5) = 9$$

$$\Pr(X \leq 9) = p_{\text{binom}}(9, 29, 0.5) = 0.031 \rightarrow L = 8$$

Upper critical value:

$$q_{\text{binom}}(0.975, 29, 0.5) = 20$$

$$\Pr(X \geq 20) = 1 - p_{\text{binom}}(19, 29, 0.5) = 0.031 \rightarrow H = 21$$

Reject  $H_0$  if  $X \leq 8$  or  $X \geq 21$ . (For testing  $H_0 : p = \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $H = n - L$ )

## Significance level

---

Consider  $X \sim \text{Binomial}(n=29, p)$ .

Test of  $H_0 : p = \frac{1}{2}$  vs  $H_a : p \neq \frac{1}{2}$  at significance level  $\alpha = 0.05$ .

Reject  $H_0$  if  $X \leq 8$  or  $X \geq 21$ .

Actual significance level:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &= \Pr(X \leq 8 \text{ or } X \geq 21 \mid p = \frac{1}{2}) \\ &= \Pr(X \leq 8 \mid p = \frac{1}{2}) + [1 - \Pr(X \leq 20 \mid p = \frac{1}{2})] \\ &= p_{\text{binom}}(8, 29, 0.5) + 1 - p_{\text{binom}}(20, 29, 0.5) \\ &= 0.024 \end{aligned}$$

If we used instead “*Reject  $H_0$  if  $X \leq 9$  or  $X \geq 20$* ”, the significance level would be

$$p_{\text{binom}}(9, 29, 0.5) + 1 - p_{\text{binom}}(19, 29, 0.5) = 0.061$$

## Example 1

---

Observe  $X = 24$  (for  $n = 29$ ).

Reject  $H_0 : p = \frac{1}{2}$  if  $X \leq 8$  or  $X \geq 21$ .

Thus we reject  $H_0$  and conclude that the ticks were more likely to go to the deer-gland-substance-treated tube.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{P-value} &= 2 \times \Pr(X \geq 24 \mid p = \frac{1}{2}) \\ &= 2 * (1 - \text{pbinom}(23, 29, 0.5)) \\ &= 0.0005. \end{aligned}$$

→ Alternatively: `binom.test(24, 29)`

## Example 2

---

Observe  $X = 17$  (for  $n = 25$ ); assume  $X \sim \text{Binomial}(n=25, p)$ .

Test of  $H_0 : p = \frac{1}{2}$  vs  $H_a : p \neq \frac{1}{2}$  at significance level  $\alpha = 0.05$ .

Rejection rule: Reject  $H_0$  if  $X \leq 7$  or  $X \geq 18$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{qbinom}(0.025, 25, 0.5) &= 8 \\ \text{pbinom}(8, 25, 0.5) &= 0.054 \\ \text{pbinom}(7, 25, 0.5) &= 0.022 \end{aligned}$$

Significance level:

$$\text{pbinom}(7, 25, 0.5) + 1 - \text{pbinom}(17, 25, 0.5) = 0.043$$

Since we observed  $X = 17$ , we fail to reject  $H_0$ .

$$\text{P-value} = 2 * (1 - \text{pbinom}(16, 25, 0.5)) = 0.11$$

# Confidence interval for a proportion

---

Suppose  $X \sim \text{Binomial}(n=29, p)$  and we observe  $X = 24$ .

Consider the test of  $H_0 : p = p_0$  vs  $H_a : p \neq p_0$ .

We reject  $H_0$  if

$$\Pr(X \leq 24 \mid p = p_0) \leq \alpha/2 \quad \text{or} \quad \Pr(X \geq 24 \mid p = p_0) \leq \alpha/2$$


95% confidence interval for  $p$ :

- The set of  $p_0$  for which a two-tailed test of  $H_0 : p = p_0$  would not be rejected, for the observed data, with  $\alpha = 0.05$ .
- The “plausible” values of  $p$ .


## Example 1

---

Binomial( $n=29, p=0.64$ )



Binomial( $n=29, p=0.94$ )



## Example 1

---

$X \sim \text{Binomial}(n=29, p)$ ; observe  $X = 24$ .

Lower bound of 95% confidence interval:

Largest  $p_0$  such that  $\Pr(X \geq 24 \mid p = p_0) \leq 0.025$

Upper bound of 95% confidence interval:

Smallest  $p_0$  such that  $\Pr(X \leq 24 \mid p = p_0) \leq 0.025$

→ `binom.test(24, 29)`

95% CI for  $p$ : (0.642, 0.942)

Note:  $\hat{p} = 24/29 = 0.83$  is not the midpoint of the CI.

## Example 2

---

$X \sim \text{Binomial}(n=25, p)$ ; observe  $X = 17$ .

Lower bound of 95% confidence interval:

$p_L$  such that 17 is the 97.5 percentile of  $\text{Binomial}(n=25, p_L)$

Upper bound of 95% confidence interval:

$p_H$  such that 17 is the 2.5 percentile of  $\text{Binomial}(n=25, p_H)$

→ `binom.test(17, 25)`


95% CI for  $p$ : (0.465, 0.851)

Again,  $\hat{p} = 17/25 = 0.68$  is not the midpoint of the CI


## Example 2

---

Binomial( $n=25$ ,  $p=0.46$ )



Binomial( $n=25$ ,  $p=0.85$ )



## The case $X = 0$

---

Suppose  $X \sim \text{Binomial}(n, p)$  and we observe  $X = 0$ .

Lower limit of 95% confidence interval for  $p$ :  $\rightarrow 0$

Upper limit of 95% confidence interval for  $p$ :

$p_H$  such that

$$\Pr(X \leq 0 \mid p = p_H) = 0.025$$

$$\implies \Pr(X = 0 \mid p = p_H) = 0.025$$

$$\implies (1 - p_H)^n = 0.025$$

$$\implies 1 - p_H = \sqrt[n]{0.025}$$

$$\implies p_H = 1 - \sqrt[n]{0.025}$$

In the case  $n = 10$  and  $X = 0$ , the 95% CI for  $p$  is  $(0, 0.31)$ .

## A mad cow example

---

New York Times, Feb 3, 2004:

The department [of Agriculture] has not changed last year's plans to test 40,000 cows nationwide this year, out of 30 million slaughtered. Janet Riley, a spokeswoman for the American Meat Institute, which represents slaughterhouses, called that "plenty sufficient from a statistical standpoint."

Suppose that the 40,000 cows tested are chosen at random from the population of 30 million cows, and suppose that 0 (or 1, or 2) are found to be infected.

- How many of the 30 million total cows would we estimate to be infected?
- What is the 95% confidence interval for the total number of infected cows?

| No. infected |       |            |
|--------------|-------|------------|
| Obs'd        | Est'd | 95% CI     |
| 0            | 0     | 0 – 2767   |
| 1            | 750   | 19 – 4178  |
| 2            | 1500  | 182 – 5418 |

## The case $X = n$

---

Suppose  $X \sim \text{Binomial}(n, p)$  and we observe  $X = n$ .

Upper limit of 95% confidence interval for  $p$ :  $\rightarrow 1$

Lower limit of 95% confidence interval for  $p$ :

$p_L$  such that

$$\begin{aligned}\Pr(X \geq n \mid p = p_L) &= 0.025 \\ \implies \Pr(X = n \mid p = p_L) &= 0.025 \\ \implies (p_L)^n &= 0.025 \\ \implies p_L &= \sqrt[n]{0.025}\end{aligned}$$

In the case  $n = 25$  and  $X = 25$ , the 95% CI for  $p$  is (0.86, 1.00).



## Large n and medium p

---

Suppose  $X \sim \text{Binomial}(n, p)$ .

$$E(X) = n p \quad \text{SD}(X) = \sqrt{n p(1 - p)}$$

$$\hat{p} = X/n \quad E(\hat{p}) = p \quad \text{SD}(\hat{p}) = \sqrt{\frac{p(1-p)}{n}}$$

For large n and medium p,  $\rightarrow \hat{p} \sim \text{Normal}\left(p, \sqrt{\frac{p(1-p)}{n}}\right)$

Use 95% confidence interval  $\hat{p} \pm 1.96 \sqrt{\frac{\hat{p}(1-\hat{p})}{n}}$

$\rightarrow$  Unfortunately, this can behave poorly.

$\rightarrow$  Fortunately, you can just use `binom.test()`